UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,950	10/06/2003	Marcel Hunn	33635/US	3584
74307 Dorsey & White	7590 07/14/200 ney LLP	EXAMINER		
IP Department, ATTN: Disetronic Licensing AG 50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500 Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498			MACNEILL, ELIZABETH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/679,950	HUNN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ELIZABETH R. MACNEILL	3767			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Oct 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3.5,8,10,11,13,14,19-21,33,37,54 and 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3.5,8,10,11,13,14,19-21,33,37,54 and 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accession and accession of the company of	vn from consideration. 1 56-60 is/are rejected. r election requirement. r.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/9/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 3, 5,8,10,13,14,19, 21 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bley et al (US 5,762,630).

Bley teaches a cannula (117 and 113) composed a first material (shape memory polymer such as MM-3510, Col 4 at line 50) which is thermally susceptible, and a second material (hub 117).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 20, 33, 37, and 56-60 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Comaish (GB 2,282,760, cited by applicants 1/17/06) in view of Jiang (US 5,349,045).

Comaish teaches a cannula with outer layer (2) and inner layer/removable tube (4) where the outer layer degrades after implantation in the body. The outer layer may puncture the body (with sharpened tip 3). See abstract. Comaish teaches

Art Unit: 3767

various materials for the construction of his device (page 4) but not a waterabsorbing polyamide.

Jiang teaches that a water absorbing polyamide may be used for medical devices, includes devices with puncture the skin (staples, clip) or drug delivery devices (cannulae, tubes). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the water-absorbing polyamide of Jiang with the catheter of Comaish as a simple substitution of one material for another which acts in the same way (by degrading in the body).

2. Claims 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 20, 21, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Comaish (GB 2,282,760, cited by applicants 1/17/06) in view of Bley

Comaish teaches a cannula with outer layer (2) and inner layer/removable tube (4) where the outer layer degrades after implantation in the body. The outer layer may puncture the body (with sharpened tip 3). See abstract. Comaish teaches various materials for the construction of his device (page 4) but not a thermally degrading polymer.

Bley teaches a thermally degrading polymer cannula (see above). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the thermally degrading material of Bley with the catheter of Comaish as a simple substitution of one material for another which acts in the same way (by degrading in the body).

Art Unit: 3767

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 11 June 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding Bley, applicant argues that the distal taper creates a flow build-up at the distal end. This is only true if the lumen of the catheter also tapers, not just the wall. There is nothing to suggest that the lumen of the catheter tapers in the disclosure and in most catheters only the outer wall has a distal taper, not the lumen. Next applicant argues that Bley is not capable of piercing the body/septum. Bley's stylet 113 has a Shore D hardness of 78 (Fig 2). This hardness is capable of piercing the human body and/or a septum (see Lambert US 5,250,066 at claim 4). Bley's stylet is also stiff enough to move past an internal valve in the introducer which may be similar to a septum (Col 4 line 38).

Conclusion

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3767

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELIZABETH R. MACNEILL whose telephone number is (571)272-9970. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elizabeth R MacNeill/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767 /Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767 Application/Control Number: 10/679,950

Page 6

Art Unit: 3767